



# Incorporating Feedback Peerceptiv Activity

# Incorporating Feedback

## Objectives

- Understand my Peerceptiv results and identify areas where I can improve.
- Incorporate feedback received from peers to improve on future assignments.


# Incorporating Feedback

Incorporating the feedback you receive from your instructor or peers can improve your work (and grade!) on future assignments.

One way to start is by first looking at your ratings, choosing one area to focus on, and then reading the comments regarding that area.

Biology Lab Report		
Overview	Rubric	Details
Draft	Due Date (All Deadlines @ 11:59 PM, EST)	
Draft #1	Submit: 04/20/2018 - 12/18/2018 Review: 12/18/2018 - 02/21/2019 Back-Eval: 02/21/2019 - 02/27/2019	<a href="#">View Your Submission</a> <a href="#">Compare Reviews</a> <a href="#">Back Evaluate Reviews</a> <a href="#">View Reviews On Your Doc</a>

*I need to revise my lab report draft and turn in the final version by Friday.*



# Incorporating Feedback

Start by looking at the *Reviews on Your Doc*:

- Rubric categories are the bolded row headings.
- The ratings you received are in the red box.
- The **Average Rating** row lists the rubric descriptor for that rating.

Peerceptiv® Microbiology Assignments Grades Help End Act As

Biology Lab Report - Draft #1  
Due on 02/27/2019

Upload Your doc Read & Review others' docs Read reviews on my doc, & make Back Eval

View Your Document Show Your Back-Evaluations

We showed average ratings for your document

Reviewer	<b>Abstract</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>Materials and Methods</b>	<b>Materials Description</b>	<b>Results</b>	<b>Discussion</b>
Peer Review Average:	5	4	5	5	4	4
Average Rating:	(5) Abstract is missing one of the components, but is generally well-written and easy to read.	(4)	(5) All but one of the above methods or primer sequences are well described and are formatted correctly	(5)	(4) Results are missing one of the components listed above AND writing needs significant improvement.	(4) Discussion fails to address one of the issues above, and does not read well.

# Incorporating Feedback



Results
4
(4) Results are missing one of the components listed above AND writing needs significant improvement.



# Incorporating Feedback

Look at the rubric to know what you need to do.

## Results

Assign a score to the Results section as indicated below.

7 - Results include all of the required information listed above; writing flows logically and is easy to read.

6 - Results include all of the required information, but the writing does not flow well and/or logic is unclear.

5 - Results are missing one of the components listed above, but is generally well-written and easy to read.


4 - Results are missing one of the components listed above AND writing needs significant improvement.

3 - Results are missing two or more components but writing is fine.

2 - Results are missing two or more components and writing needs significant improvement

1 - Results are written so poorly that it is hard to tell whether required components are included.

***If I add the missing information or make my writing easier to read, my rating will go up! Now, how do I do that?***




# Incorporating Feedback

Read your feedback and look for patterns

	Reviewer #1	Reviewer #2	Reviewer #3
Results	<p>I was confused too but I believe the directions meant for us to <b>paste the first unedited 100 bases of the sequencing reaction?</b> I believe you have the edited version in. Also, there were a few places where your ideas were not clear. <b>Can you explain your graph on p. 3 in more detail?</b></p>	<p>Great chromatogram but you seemed to include <b>a shortened version of the sequence.</b> Also, the first paragraph in this section seemed to have a lot of <b>typos.</b> It was like you started writing your first sentence and didn't stop until the end of the paragraph. This made it hard to understand. <b>Can you break it up into multiple sentences?</b></p>	<p>Everything seemed present but there were a few things that <b>needed to be explained more clearly or presented more fully.</b> The sequence was too short, based on what I thought the assignment asked. I <b>didn't understand your graph</b> on page 2 and some of your ideas in paragraph 1 were not clear because of <b>grammar mistakes.</b></p>


*I highlighted the things at least two reviewers mentioned.*



# Incorporating Feedback

Read your feedback and look for patterns

*They said I need to include the full version of the sequence and to explain my graphs better. I also need to proofread my grammar. I can make those changes!*





# Incorporating Feedback

Now, it's your turn.

1. Go into Peerceptiv, open the most recent completed Peerceptiv assignment, and click *View Reviews on Your Doc*.
2. Look at your ratings.

Ask yourself: **Which rating was lowest? What rubric category is it?**

# Incorporating Feedback

3. Open up the rubric. To do this, open a new tab, go to the *Assignment Screen*, and click *Rubric*.
4. Read the rating descriptors for the category that you scored the lowest in.

Ask yourself: **What steps can I take to improve that rating by one or two levels?**

# Incorporating Feedback

5. Go back to your reviews and read the comments.

Ask yourself: **What patterns do I notice? Do multiple reviewers mention the same thing?**

6. Take their feedback, especially if something is mentioned by several reviewers, and use it to improve your submission.

# Incorporating Feedback

Peer review can improve your understanding of the concept and your overall product.

The more time you spend looking at the feedback and using it to improve, the more your work will improve!